G. R. S. 59] GPB - 15,000- 20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899

~~191~~ .

(Mudgal)

File No. 37.

(Sel

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month July 1907.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of certain Dharmadarsis to Superviae the affairs of the Sri in the Prasanna Venkataramanaswamy T at Dodballapur Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

27625 of 08.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FOR ORDERS.

Subject.— Petition no 2/69
dated 12th January 1902
from Desakulakarani Sri-
-nivasa murti of Dodabal
-lur complaining that the
management of the Sri
Prasanna Venkatarmana-
-swami and the Sri Chandra-
-moleswaraswami Temples
at Doddaballapur has been
taken away from him.
Also Petn No 2072 d: 25/12/01 from Saravothama Rao
son of Srinivasa Murti, on the same subject.

1. The Dewan has been
pleased to record the
following order on this
petition:—

Magrai Secretary

There is no doubt there have been some complaints about this matter. But if any remedy can be effected short of his removal, the old man perhaps deserves it. Kindly see what can be done".

~~~

On the 21st April 1898 Krishnamachari, Archak to the Sri Prasanna Venkatesa-perumal Temple at Conjeevaram made a statement before the late Magrai Secretary, complaining that Srirangam people, Trustees of the Temple,
~~~

3

did not disclose to him the full amount of the temple Taxation drawn from the Taluk Treasury and that he had been unauthorizedly enjoying certain temple Inam lands — This statement was forwarded to the Dy Commr for report.

With the late enquiry Regl Docket no 1315 dated 27th April 1898.

3. The Dy Commr (Mr Puttanna Chetty) held a local enquiry and submitted a detailed report ⊗ to Government that, in a statement given by him before the Amildar Dharmadarsee - Srinivasa murti admitted the fact of his

⊗ no 933 dated 28th March 1900.

4

his not having disbursed

any pay to the Archuk as

the latter was in the enjoyment

of Inam lands. and that

he (Srinivasa murti) had

also admitted that he was

in possession of the Pariahuk

Inamti land, as there was

no Pariahuk for the temple.

In these circumstances

the Dy Commr recommended

to Government (i) that the pay

of the Archuk as well as to

the other temple servants might

be disbursed direct to them

by the Taluk authorities (ii)

that the Inam lands attached

to the Pariahuraka service

should

This was a cautious reader
GL

5

should be taken possession
of by the Auditor and
leased out, the rent realized
being regularly credited
to the funds of the Institu-
tion (iii) that some others
might be appointed Dharma-
-darsees, including
Srinivasa murti - These
proposals were accordingly
sanctioned.

In Govt Pro no 1044
dated 10th April 1900 &
Note no 1045 dated
10th April 1900.

24. The petitioner
appealed against this
order in February 1901 to
the First Councillor (the
present Dewan), who after
perusing the previous papers
and hearing the details
from

6

from the late Magistrate

Secretary, recorded

the following orders ※ on the petition :—

※ Dated 15th February 1901

Review

"Refer him to the orders

"already passed".

A Kumusi Endorsement ⊗ was accordingly issued to him.

⊗ No 627 dated 25th February 1901

He has again now presented a petition (No 2165/692 dated 12th January 1902), on which the Dewan has been pleased to record the following order noted in the first-hand.

Submitted

10/2/02

Deputy Secretary's Remarks.

6. It will be seen from the above that the petitioner was removed from the management of the temple as he was not duly paying the temple servants, and was unauthorizedly enjoying some service lands belonging to the temple. He is still however a Dharmadarsi along with two others, but he has no control over the temple funds or lands.

7. Petitioner states that the temple was originally constructed by his ancestors and was endowed with lands &c, in consideration of services rendered by them to the State. If this is so, it is natural that the Petitioner (who is an old gentleman) feels that he is not allowed the sole management

of the temple and its concerns,
and the disposal of its income.
But his removal from such a
position of authority was due
to his own fault.

5. The only way of ameliorating
the Petitioner's position at
this stage is, in my humble
opinion, to appoint him as
the sole Dharmadarsee of the
temple without giving him
any hand in the disbursement
of the temple funds. In this
manner he will have unfettered
power over the temple affairs,
subject of course to the general
control of the Amildar. This
will be somewhat better than
his present position in which
he is associated with two other
Dharmadarsis. A similar arrangement

appointing a sole Dharmadarsi was sanctioned by the Dewan the other day in the case of the Revana Siddheswara temple in the Closepet Sub-Taluk

9. There is however one difficulty in carrying out the above proposal, if it is approved. The Petitioner and two other gentlemen were appointed Dharmadarsis only so recently as the 10th April 1900. Under the rules, their term of office extends to 5 years, and it will be perhaps felt as a grievance if the other two gentlemen are now removed before the expiry of their term

Submitted to the Dewan

24/2/02

3532
RD 24.2.02

10

10

3833

The proposal in
para 8 might
commend itself. If
the Executive under
which the number
of Dhanna dancers
is reduced to (1) one
in this temple is
explained I am
sure the three two
gentlemen will
gladly acquiesce
in the arrangement
& even waive their
appeals. On a future
occasion if there
will be a necessity
for more than one
D. Dancer three two
gentlemen might
deemed available

Ans
1.3.02

Read
3/3/02

Note Continued

Orders were accordingly
communicated to the Dy Comm^r
in Govt Endt no 2144 dated
Mang the 3rd

A

dated 30th June 1902

10 Sreenivasa Murti has requested in his present petition to the address of the Devasthanam the entire management of the temple with its Dasdik amount and the land Inams may be vested in him — But it has been distinctly laid down in para 3 of the Govt. order quoted above that he should have no hand "either in the disbursement of the temple funds or in the management of the temple lands" and that "he will have to supervise the temple affairs subject, of course, to the general control of the Amildar".

No 721/578 dated 26th August 1903

Submitted to the Devasthanam

The orders now in force to the effect that the management and disposal of funds should rest with the Amildar seem best in the interests of the temple

Yes

14/2/19.11.03

2

No more needs done

20.X1.53

Reply accordingly JL
21/11/53

Muz. 8A]

Müzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note cont.d

The Deputy Commissioner now reports that Mr. Sreenivasa Murti, the sole Dharmadarsi of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple in the Doddaballapur town died on the 16th August 1905, leaving behind him 2 sons - Sarvottama Rao and Shama Rao. The former is stated to be employed as District Surveyor attached to the Chitaldrug District Office, and the latter is in the Excise Deptt, but has taken leave and gone to Madras. These two persons are reported to have applied for the Dharmadarsi's place which has fallen vacant.

The Deputy Commissioner further reports that the Amildar proposes that as the above named applicants are absent from the station, the marginally noted 3 persons may be appointed Dharmadarsis. The Divisional Officer is stated to be of the same opinion. The

1. Hatlur Ramappa.
2. Krishna Pandit.
3. Arunachalastapana Lakshmana Rao.

Deputy Commissioner concurs in the above view and solicits sanction to their appointment as Dharmadarsis, till the sons of the deceased Srinivasamurti return to Doddaballapur and reside there permanently, when they may be appointed as Dharmadarsis.

Prior to the appointment of Srinivasa murti as the sole Dharmadarsi, he was associated with two others as Dharmadarsis, viz Krishna Pandit and Nanjundiah. When ordering the removal of these two individuals, the late Dewan Sir P. N. Krishnamurti observed "* * * On a future occasion if there will be a necessity for more than one Dharmadarsi, these two gentlemen may be deemed available." One of the gentlemen now recommended is Krishna Pandit. The other namely Nanjundiah is observed from the records to be dead.

* See Page 10 of this Note.

~~Sd~~ May a notification be issued appointing as Dharmadarsis

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

the 3 persons recommended by the Dy Commissioner?

Submitted
Ds
10/6/07

I think the gentlemen recommended by the D/Comr may be notified as Dharmadarsis.

If the sons of the deceased late Dharmadarsi (Venkata Murti) come and live at Dodballapur, either of them or both may be added on to the list of Dharmadarsis—

Subd to the 2d ✱ | Ramachandra Rao 10/6/07

Approved
RMN
11/6/07

Draft accpt
Ek 11/6/07
Ds
11/6/07

Page ( )

File No. of 190

Reg

15-16.

26/3/97 C1

1b

ನಂ. 19

1345 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣಜೇರಿ

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ದೊಡ್ಡಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು —

ಶ-ನಬಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅರಳಿಕೊಪ್ಪಿಲ

ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಗಂಡಾರಸ ಪುಕುಂ.

ಸೀವು ಹಜೂರಿಗ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಸಾಚಿಕ್ ಮೂಪೆ

24 ನೇ ಮರ್ಕುಂ 1576 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನ ನಿಮಲು

ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಲಾಯ್ಕ ಶೇಕಡ ರವಕಲ್ಲು

ಹೊರಲಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಇನಾಮ್ ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಹನುಮಂತರಾಯರವರೆ ಬ ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ ಇಷ್ಟೆ.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 14 ನೇ ಮೊಹೆ ಸಿ 1897 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order

Muzrai Secretary.

Mewrai Department

No. 167.

Name of Petr:

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆರಮಲ್ಲಿಯ
ನ ಅಲಬಾಂ ಚೆ-ಲಚಿಂತರ
ಹಿಂಕ್ಕನಳ್ಳಿನ ಎಂಕ ಮಲ್ಲಿ

Date of Petn: 23.7.97

Date of Recpt: 26.7.97

To.

The Dy Comr: Bangalore
District, for Early disposal

By order

Abstract

Mar: Secy

31.7.97

6.1.97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

Registered No.— 1927

Name of Petitioner—

Address—

Date of Petition—

Date of Receipt— 14-6-97

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਕਾਗਜ਼ਾਤ ਵਿਚ ਦਰਜ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਤੇ ਹੀ

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— 879

Name of Petitioner— దీపాంర్డ్ లిళ్ళ
రదవే-సభీ లిలల్లా లు వత్రీత్ర
Address— న్నవేంవే-ఁరరలు సాంసా
మిదీఁర ధ్వసగవే కెగ్రీ ఆరీ ఁంభ
లపంవేర కొంళ్ళ గత్రీనివాం సమూంశి
Date of Petition— 18-11-97

Date of Receipt— 19-11-97

Vide Endt No 8368
29.11.97

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

నిదరి దోఁక ఆభ
వే-నుచొంర్ వద్దవి త్రీవారనరి
లిలి దే ఆత్రీమా గళ్ళ నమ్మసూం
త్రీ వాన్నే లిం వేలు- వే న్న మూంశి
లత్రీర్ణనూం త్రీ వ దిన్న వేంవేలు
నిదరి లిలవన నంబ వే-సా
లదే, ర్ఁ వేక్ వరవర ర్ను త్రీంత్రీవే
రఖ ఖాం నేంలుల్లా. దీఁర
రం గళ్ళాళ్ళ లిలట్లు నంవేర
రి చొంఁలీలీ వేంత్రీలు వరాశి
ఁ ఁ నే, నిదరి ఆభ వీవే-నిగే
ట్లేంలిలు వరిఖ స్రీరీ కొంఁలీ
నవే లిల్లా వేవ్ల రిలిలుంలు నిదరి
భోవే-న రిలిలుంవర ఖగని
దేంలిలు వ వాం రు పత్రీ
నవే ఖాంరిలిం త్రీలు

29/11/97

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಸೂಚನೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಅಥವಾ ಇತರೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಶಾಶ್ವತ ಸ್ವರೂಪದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಂತ ಖರ್ಚಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದ ಅವಧಿಯೊಳಗೆ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಗಲುವ ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಅಥವಾ ಇತರೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಶಾಶ್ವತ ಸ್ವರೂಪದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಂತ ಖರ್ಚಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದ ಅವಧಿಯೊಳಗೆ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತಗಲುವ ವೆಚ್ಚವನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ಹಲವಾರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೆಲೆಸಿದ್ದು, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಹೊರವಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸದರಿ ಭೂಮಿಯು ಕಂದಾಯ ಗ್ರಾಮವಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 316-17 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೂರು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೃಷಿ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಉಳಿದ ಎರಡು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಲು ಬಂಡೆಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಕೃಷಿ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೃಷಿಯೇತರ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಳಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೃಷಿಯೇತರ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ, ನಾನು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಣ್ಣ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಸದರಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 27 ಜನರಿಗೆ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಾವಕಾಶಗಳು ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಾವಕಾಶಗಳು ದೊರೆತಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ, ಸದರಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹ ಆದಾಯ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೃಷಿಯೇತರ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಳಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೃಷಿಯೇತರ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಳಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳ

ಜೀವಕಾಂತಿ ದಿವ್ಯೋಷಧ ಸೂಂಡ್ಲು ಕಾ ಬಳಲುತ್ತಿರಲು

ಆ ಸಖಿ ತಲ್ಪ ಸಖಿಯ ಕಿವಿಸ್ಪರ್ಶದಂತೆ ಏನೋ ಚಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಂಡಿ ಕೆಲಂತೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮ ಹಾಂಲೆಸಿದ್ದನ್ನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಆಕೆಯ ಲು ಯಿಂದ ಕಾಶ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಯು ಲೆ ಕಾಶ ಲು ಯಿಂದ
ತೆಗೆ ಕೊಂಡಿದಾಂ ಗ ||. ಸಂ ಮೂಂಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಶಿರ(ಗ) ತಾಂಶ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಯು — ಅ ಸಖಿ ಸಖಿ
ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ — ಮ ಕಿವಿ ಕಾಂಲ ಧ್ವನಿ ಯಾಖಿ — ಸಖಿಮಿ ಯು ಸಖಿ ಸಿಲಿಕೆ ದ್ದ
ಆ ಸಖಿ ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ ಮ ಯಂ ಕಿ — ಲಂ ತಾಂಶ ದಿ ಸಿ — ಬಳಲಿ ಸೂಂಡಿಯಿಂದ
ಕೆ ತಾಂಶ ದಿ ಸಿಲಿಕೆ ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ ಸಖಿಮಿ ಯು ಸಖಿ ಸೂಂಡಿ ಯಿಂದ ಕಿವಿ ಕಿವಿಗೆ

ಆ ಸಖಿ ತಲ್ಪ ಸಖಿಯ ಕಿವಿಸ್ಪರ್ಶದಂತೆ ಏನೋ ಚಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಂಡಿ ಕೆಲಂತೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮ ಹಾಂಲೆಸಿದ್ದನ್ನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಆಕೆಯ ಲು ಯಿಂದ ಕಾಶ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಯು ಲೆ ಕಾಶ ಲು ಯಿಂದ
ತೆಗೆ ಕೊಂಡಿದಾಂ ಗ ||. ಸಂ ಮೂಂಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಶಿರ(ಗ) ತಾಂಶ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಯು — ಅ ಸಖಿ ಸಖಿ
ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ — ಮ ಕಿವಿ ಕಾಂಲ ಧ್ವನಿ ಯಾಖಿ — ಸಖಿಮಿ ಯು ಸಖಿ ಸಿಲಿಕೆ ದ್ದ
ಆ ಸಖಿ ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ ಮ ಯಂ ಕಿ — ಲಂ ತಾಂಶ ದಿ ಸಿ — ಬಳಲಿ ಸೂಂಡಿಯಿಂದ
ಕೆ ತಾಂಶ ದಿ ಸಿಲಿಕೆ ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ ಸಖಿಮಿ ಯು ಸಖಿ ಸೂಂಡಿ ಯಿಂದ ಕಿವಿ ಕಿವಿಗೆ

ಆ ಸಖಿ ತಲ್ಪ ಸಖಿಯ ಕಿವಿಸ್ಪರ್ಶದಂತೆ ಏನೋ ಚಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಂಡಿ ಕೆಲಂತೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮ ಹಾಂಲೆಸಿದ್ದನ್ನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಆಕೆಯ ಲು ಯಿಂದ ಕಾಶ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಯು ಲೆ ಕಾಶ ಲು ಯಿಂದ
ತೆಗೆ ಕೊಂಡಿದಾಂ ಗ ||. ಸಂ ಮೂಂಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಶಿರ(ಗ) ತಾಂಶ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಯು — ಅ ಸಖಿ ಸಖಿ
ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ — ಮ ಕಿವಿ ಕಾಂಲ ಧ್ವನಿ ಯಾಖಿ — ಸಖಿಮಿ ಯು ಸಖಿ ಸಿಲಿಕೆ ದ್ದ
ಆ ಸಖಿ ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ ಮ ಯಂ ಕಿ — ಲಂ ತಾಂಶ ದಿ ಸಿ — ಬಳಲಿ ಸೂಂಡಿಯಿಂದ
ಕೆ ತಾಂಶ ದಿ ಸಿಲಿಕೆ ಸಖಿಸಿನ ಹಾಂ ಅ ಸಖಿ ಯಂಖಿ ಸಖಿಮಿ ಯು ಸಖಿ ಸೂಂಡಿ ಯಿಂದ ಕಿವಿ ಕಿವಿಗೆ

MUZRAI. 23

1898.

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 27th
Despatched } April 1898.
Received

General No. 1315
Muz. F. No.

Enclosure No. 1.

In forwarding herewith a Statement dated 21st, Instant, made before the Undersigned, by Krishna machari, archak of Sri Venkataramanaswami, temple at Doddaballapur complaining that Sreenivasa murti the Dharmadarsee of the temple does not disburse to him the full amount of the temple Sadike drawn from the Taluk and that

that further that he (the Dharmadarsee) has been unauthorizedly enjoying certain temple Inam has the honour to request that the Deputy C-er will fully enquire into the complaint and submit a report at an early date.

By order

Yaw draft

Muzrai Secretary.

1899-1900

From The Dy. Commissioner, of the Bangalor. District



To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 28th } March 1900
Despatched 29th }
Received

General No.
No. 933

Enclosure No. 2 files

Serij: docket no 1315
of 27th April 1878.

Report regarding the complaint of Krishna-machari, archaka of Sri Venkataramanaswamy Temple at Doddaballapur against Sri. Lakshmi-narasimha Swami, Dharmadarsi of the temple —

Videma1044 10.4

D. No. 933.
Encls. 2 files.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 28th March 1900.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 1315 dated 27th April 1898, forwarding for enquiry and report the statement of Krishnamachari, Archak of Sri. Venkataramana Swami temple at Doddballapur, complaining that Mr. Srinivasa Murti the Dharmadarsi of the temple did not disburse his pay to him properly, and making some other allegations against him, I have the honor to submit the entire records of the enquiry, and to report as follows:-

2. Dharmadarsi Mr. Srinivasa Murti has in a statement given by him before the Amildar in May last stated that he did not disburse any ready money pay to the Archak, as the latter was in enjoyment of Inam lands under the

the Somper tank. That the Archak was in enjoyment of Inam lands, affords no reasonable ground to deprive him of his Padikara allow-ance which he has all along been receiving till the commencement of the misunderstanding between him and Mr Srinivasa Murti. The action of the latter in stopping the allowance is high handed and un-justifiable and accentuates the necessity to take it out of the power of Dharmadarsees to withhold the pay of the temple servants at their will and pleasure. I therefore beg to sup-port the Amildar's recommendation that the allowance to the Archak and also to the other temple servants might be disbursed direct to them by the Taluk authorities on their producing a certificate from the Dharmadarsees of having worked satis-factorily during the period for which the pay is claimed. If a precedent for such a course were necessary, I may quote the instance of the Ghati Subram--aniaswami temple where the Mirasi

Sent to Govt No 21

Superintendent has ordered (vide his order No. 440 to the Dodballapur Amildar) the payment of the Padi tara allowance direct to the Archak

3. As regards the allegation that Mr. Srinivasa Murti has been appropriating to himself the proceeds of the Paricharak Inam land, it is admitted by Mr. Srinivasa Murti that he is in possession of the land as there is no Paricharak for the temple, but he has stated that he is utilizing the proceeds of the land for the annual Rathotsavam of the temple. How far he has been so utilizing it, it is difficult to say, as he has not been furnishing monthly accounts (vide Amildar's report dated 3rd October 1897), and no check is exercised over his control of the temple funds. It is therefore highly desirable that, as proposed by the Amildar, the Paricharak Inam land should be taken from Mr. Srinivasa Murti's possession and leased out at the most favorable terms and the proceeds kept under savings in

O.O
21/8/97

the name of the Institution to be utilized as occasion arises therefor.

4. Mr. Srinivasa Murti's contention that the temple having been built by his ancestors, is not under Government management, is futile, as the Government which has endowed the temple with large land and cash grants, has to see to its proper and efficient management

5. Considering the strained relations between Archak Krishnamacharri and Dharmadarsi Mr. Srinivasa Murti, it is desirable to associate the latter with some disinterested Gentlemen in the management of the temple. The Dharmadarsees appointed by Government in their Notification No 583 dated 20th March 1893 for all the temples at Dodballapur, do not apparently exercise sufficient control over the temple in question, as they seem to have left it entirely in the hands of Mr. Srinivasa Murti. Considering that more than 5 years have elapsed since the appointment of the above Dharmadarsees, I beg to recommend that the marginally noted

1. Mr. Srinivasa Murti
2. Mr. Krishna Pandit.
3. Mr. Ranganadayya.

Seal

persons may now be appointed Dharmadarsees of the temple, and that a Notification to that effect may be published in the Mysore Gazette.

6. The petition of Venkatasubbiah received with your official Memo No 4 dated 1st July 1899 is enclosed with the records, herewith submitted, as the facts contained therein are closely connected with the allegations made by Archak Krishnamachari and are covered by this report.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

H Nattamuthu
Dy Commissioner,
Langulore District.

Mcs

41

Read :—.

Letter No. 733 dated 28th March 1900 from the Dy Comr, Bangalore District reporting that Srinivasa Murti, Manager of the Sri Venkatara-manaswami temple at Dodballapur has not been properly disbursing the pay of the temple Establishment paid to him from the Taluk, and that he has also been unauthorizedly enjoying the Inam lands attached to the Paricharaka Service in the temple: and also recommending ~~that~~ the appointment of certain persons ~~[redacted]~~ as Dharma-darsees of the Institution

Order thereon:—

No. 1044
En/pp
Dt 10-4-1900

Under the circumstances reported by the Collector the Government direct that the pay of the servants of the Sri Venkata-ramanaswami temple at Dodballapur be, in future, disbursed to them by the Taluk Amildar direct as in the case of other Muzrai Institutions.

2. The Inam lands attached to the Paricharaka service ~~and to the temple~~ than which are now being unauthorizedly enjoyed by the manager Sri Nivasa Murti should ~~be~~ be ~~let~~ at once taken charge of by the Amildar and leased out on terms most favorable to the temple, the rent realized

being regularly credited to the funds of the Institution. ~~till such~~ ~~a time as a Regr~~

3. A Notification has also been separately issued this day, appointing the persons recommended by the Director as Shebaits of the temple in question

A A
~~Asst Secy~~

To,

The Director, Bangalore Dt

Retg the enclosures.

Inam 49

No 1045
Dt 10.4.1900

Notification:—

The undermentioned persons are appointed Dharmadarsees of the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Gudballapur in the place of the former Dharmadarsees removed on account of efflux of time & other causes.

1. M. R. Ry. Srinivasa Murti
2. M. R. Ry. Krishna Pandit
& 3. M. R. Ry Nanjundaiya

By order
A1
Inam Secretary

To,
The compiler, Mysore Gazette
for publication both in
English and in Kanarese.

Memo
No 1046 Dt 10-4-1900
Copy forwarded to the
Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt
with reference to para 3
of Govt Proc No. 1044-
dated 10th April 1900

By order
A1

2 dy 23/25/10/1900
transferred to the Secretary
to Government, Mining Deptt,
for disposal in his order

18.10.00

for Secretary
to Government, Mining Deptt,



1900

No. 589

Name of Petitioner Iramiwa Murthi

Village Pennadam & Iramachandrapuram Dharmakarta of Sri Prasanna Venkataramanaswamy Temple at

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 24-9-00

Date of Receipt 27-9-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

States that in the administration of Pishwas i.e about 140 years ago his Grandfather built the temple above and another temple at an outlying place of Rs. that H. late H. Krishnaraja Wadiar Bahadur granted some inam lands and ready money payment of Rs. 61= for each of the temples - that the members of his family and himself were managing the temples - that about 60 or 70 years ago the Sub Division officer at the recommendation of the Amildar of [illegible] Rs. 57 [illegible] that he should submit accounts

for the Asst. Constable and also for the income
derived from the Swamp land - that
he has been entrusted the account and drawing
the Constable Accounts Every month from the
Treasury - that he complained the Manager Supt
of that the Orchard was not properly attended
to his duties inspite of his warning - that the
above officer referred him to the Caretaker - vide Endt no. 875 of 29-11-97
that the caretaker did not take any notice
of his complaint as the - that subsequently the
caretaker at the instigation of the Orchard has
assumed the management of the temple
by not properly allowing him to draw the
Amount of Asst Commissioner that the
Manager Supt. may be directed to -
to leave his task of managing the temples
built by his grandfather restored to him

H6

15/10/98
Asst Commissioner
15/10/98

589

? 47

589 A

ముఖ్యంగా పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలు అందించే సంప్రదాయం ఎప్పటినుంచో వస్తున్నట్లు ఆధారాలు ఉన్నాయి. ఈ పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలు ఎన్నో రకాలుగా తయారు చేస్తారు. వీటిలో ముఖ్యమైనవి ఏవంటే

ఇవన్నీ కాకుండా ఇంకా అనేక రకాలుగా పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలు తయారు చేస్తారు. ఈ పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలు ఎక్కువగా ఎవరికి ఇస్తారు అనే విషయం మీద ఆధారపడి ఉంటుంది. ఉదాహరణకు ప్రేమికులు ఒకరికొకరు ఇచ్చుకునే పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలలో ఎర్ర గులాబీలు ఎక్కువగా ఉంటాయి. ఇవి ప్రేమకు చిహ్నంగా భావిస్తారు.

ఈ పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలను ఇవ్వడం అనేది ఎప్పటినుంచో ఆచారంగా వస్తున్నట్లు ఆధారాలు ఉన్నాయి. ఈ ఆచారం ఇటీవలి కాలంలో కొంతమంది వ్యక్తులు మొదలుపెట్టినది కాదు. ఇది చాలా పురాతన కాలం నుంచి ఉన్నట్లు ఆధారాలు ఉన్నాయి. ఉదాహరణకు క్రీ.పూ. 7-8 శతాబ్దాల నాటి గ్రీకు నాగరికతలో కూడా ఈ ఆచారం ఉన్నట్లు ఆధారాలు ఉన్నాయి.

ఈ పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలు ఇవ్వడం అనేది ఒక్కొక్క దేశంలో ఒక్కొక్క రకంగా ఉంటుంది. ఉదాహరణకు ఫ్రాన్స్ దేశంలో ఎర్ర గులాబీలతో తయారు చేసిన పుష్పగుచ్ఛాలను ప్రేమికులు ఒకరికొకరు ఇచ్చుకుంటారు. ఈ ఆచారం ఎప్పటినుంచో ఉన్నట్లు ఆధారాలు ఉన్నాయి

నన్ను గుర్తించి నీవు అడుగుతున్నందుకు కృతజ్ఞతలు. నన్ను గురించి నీ
కంటే నా స్వంతదానవు వేరెవరు నీకు ఉండబోరని నీకు తెలుసు. నేను
చనిపోయిన తరువాత నా ఆస్తి అంతయు లక్ష్మీకాంతకు చెందునట్లు
మీ తండ్రిగారు చేసిన ఏర్పాట్లన్నీ నీకు తెలుసు. అట్లు
ర వడియుచున్నదున్నందుకు నన్ను చూచి నవ్వుచు నీవు అడుగుటకే
కాబోలు అని అనుకుంటిని. నా ఆలోచనలు పరిశీలించుటకే
అట్లు అడిగినచో ఏ సంగతులు నీకు తెలియజేయవలెనో
24. 9-1900

How does this matter
Let me have the
& details.
(20) P.N.K.
24 XII 00
D Ballapur

No. 1264

Name of Petitioner Srinivasa Ri
grandson of Annachela Pandita &
Village Dharmakarta of Sri Prasanna
Venkatramanaswamy
Taluk Doddaballapur
District Bangalore
Date of Arzi
Date of Receipt 3.1.01
Date of Disposal
No. of Enclosure Nil

Reference
1207/00. 9/1/1901
9/700. No Pet/492 23-10-00.

11-1-07
Rept put up

All
12/1/01 No Pet/928 dy 30-1-1901
Transferred to the Secretary in matters
Mun. Dept. in the file
[illegible]

C. Chandra Shekhar Rao
[illegible]

6.
Rec'd
31.1.1901

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
RECEIVED
Dy No 822, 824.
BANGALORE

ABSTRACT

(Reminder to his previous
petn: dy 10.9.1900.

Prays that the temples may
be kept under his charge &
that they may be taken away
from his hands if after a
trial he is found faulty.

7/1/01

1264

1264R

822

DEWAN

FIC

MILITARY BRANCH

Reference to the orders already passed

15.2.07

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
REGIS
Date
Reg No 824
MUZRAI BRANCH

1207

1207 R

17-12-80

17-12-80

Muz. 2.] MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

6ಬಿ ಸಿ.ಪಿ.ಪ- ೭- ೧೯೦೧
7ಡಿ-೭

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿ
ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ಮೇಂಡ್ಗಳ್ಳಿ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಶ್ರೀಗುವ ಪ್ರಕಾಶಂಚಾರ್ಲು
ಮಂತಿಚೆಗಮೋಂಮಂಗ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಂಕ ಮುಯಂಗಿಗೆ —

~~ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಪೆಂಕ್ಯಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ~~ ~~ಮಾಹೆ~~ ಸೇ

~~ಮುಮ್ಮರಿ~~ ~~ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪದಾಂಬರಿನಲಾಮಿತು.~~

ಮೇಂಡ್ಗಳ್ಳಿ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಶ್ರೀಕ್ಷೇತ್ರನಿವಾಸ ಮೆಂಚೆಚರಮಣಿಂಚ್ಚಾ
ಮಿಯುವರಗೇಂದ ತಕನಿಚ್ಚು ಮೋಂಗಾಲಗು ನಿಂಮ
ವಂಶಸ್ಥಿ ಮಿಂವಚಿಯುಂಗುನ್ಯಲಾಡ್ಡದ್ಯುಲಮಾಂಚಿಗೆ ವಚ್ಚಿ
ಶ್ರೀಂಗಿರುವಂಗು ಂ, ಕಾದನಿದೇಲಂ ದಲ್ಲಿಂದಿಂಚಿ
ಮಿಂಗುಗಳ್ಳು ನಿಂಮಾಕ್ಕಾಂದ್ರಿನಚ್ಚಾಡ್ಡದ್ದನ್ನಲಮಾಂಚಿಗೆ
ನಿಂಮಮಾಜ್ಞೀಕ ಮ್ಮಿನಿಗುವಂಚೆಯುಂ, ನೆವ್ಯುನಿಂಗರ್ಣ ಮಲಂಚಿ
ಗೆ ಚಿಂಚಾಂಗಾನ್ನಿಚ್ಚಿಕ್ಕೋಗುಗಲ ಎವರ್ಕ್ಯಮಂಗಿ, 12.64-7ಕಿಕ್ಕೆ
8ಪಿಕ್ಕೆ
ಲಂಗಾರು ಲಾಚಿಕ್ಕೆ ಪೆದಂಗಾಗಳನಿಕ್ಕಲಂಗಮಾಗು.

ನೆವುಶ್ರೀಕ್ಷೇತ್ರನಿವಾಸ ಮೆಂಚೆಚರಮಣಿಂಚ್ಚಾಂಮಿಗುವಂಗ
ದೇಲಂ ದ ಮುನ್ನಿದೇಲಂಬರ್ಚೆಲಾಕಮೆಂಂಚ್ಚುಲಾದ್ದಚಾಂ
ದ್ಧಾ, ಕಾದನಿದೇಲಂ ದಳಿಂತೆರಗನಿಗೆ ಚಿಂಗ ಳ್ಳುನಿಂಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ
ಚೆಲಾಬಾವ್ಯಕಾರಿಯಂಗಿ ಮಿಂವಚಿ ಮಂಚ್ಚುಮೆಲ್ಲಾರಲ್ಲಾ,

(5) ; అయితే ఆల్ప సంఖ్యాకులు రక్షించబడుతున్నారు
ఏంటివారి మంచి భవిష్యత్తును నిలబెట్టుకుంటున్న తరుణంలో
మేము యోచించినది నీళ్ళు ఇచ్చే అధికారం కూడా శ్రీ శేష
శయనుడున్న శ్రీరంగ దేవస్థానం వారిదే అయినందున నిజంగా ఉద్దేశమేమిటో
తెలుసుకోవడం అవసరమని భావించాము. ఈ విషయమై
ఇప్పటికే ఎన్నో సార్లు ఈ ప్రభుత్వం దృష్టికి తీసుకొచ్చాము. ఈ
విషయంలో ఇంకా ఆలస్యం చేయడం మంచిది కాదని
మేము భావిస్తున్నాము. ఈ విషయంలో ఇంకా ఆలస్యం
చేయడం వల్ల ఈ ప్రాంతంలో ఉన్న ప్రజలు ఇబ్బందులు
పడే అవకాశం ఉంది

MUZRAI

1901

From The Muzrai Subdl
~~THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.~~

To
The Dy Comr,
Bangalore district

Dated 8th
Despatched 9th March 1901

Received

General No. 972
Muzrai F. No. 37-98

Enclosure No.

Referring to this office
No. 628/75-2 d/25th February 1901
has the honor to state that
Srinivasa Murti, Dharma
darsee of the Sri Prasanna
Venkataramanaswami temple
at Doddballapur complains
that the Archak of the temple
does not perform worship
in the temple regularly
and to request that the
Dy Comr will therefore be
so good as to instruct the
Taluk Amildar to warn the
archak that in case he fails
to perform his duties re-
gularly, he will be placed

under

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

under suspension, if not
summarily removed
from the temple service.

Mark Superintendent

12/6/01

Mmor: F 37-98.

For order.

The question has recently been disposed of -

18/12/02

1901.02

No. 2165

Name of Petitioner Srinivasamurti grandson of Desa-

Village -Kulakarni Kruna-

Taluk -chalapantharu Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 12.1.02

Date of Receipt in the Peta Branch 23.1.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

states that his grand-father built two temples at a cost of one lack of Rupees in Doddaballapur dedicated to Sri Prasanna Venkataramana Swamy and Sri chandrava Thalesh-wara Swamy respectively that the Utsavam he was being performed by his grandfather at his own cost, that at the time of the Maharaja Sri Krishn-araja Wodeyar the temples were taken possession of by the Pallega of Gumma naikana palya that the petn's father

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

To Mysore Secretary.

Please see one about this. No doubt there have been some complaints about this man. But if any remedy can be applied short of his removal, the old man perhaps deserves it, kindly see what can be done."

(Sd) C.N.K.

17.1.02.

Transferred to Mus. Branch for needful.

Sd 27.1.02

27.1.02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN ... MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date .....
REG. No. 692
MUZRAI BRANCH

Read

27/1/02

Submitted with an Office Note to the Dewan

Sd 24/2/02

temples to be restored from the possession of the said Pallegar; that His Highness promised to grant a jaghir to the petr's father (for his services), who declined to accept the jaghir but requested some Pasdile being granted to the temples; that a monthly pasdile of Rs 12/- and some Manyam lands were given to the temples accordingly; that the petr's ancestors and the petr were managing the temples till recently; that the petr had to leave the place on account of plague when the temples were temporarily handed over to the Govt Management. but that the Mysore Govt. subsequently declined to restore the management to the petr, and that the usual maryadés too have been stopped to the petr's family

Prays for restoration to him of the management and of the usual Maryadés. 20.1.09

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 692
MUZRAI BRANCH

RECORD DEPARTMENT
No. 2165 R
DATE 23-1-1902



Ulsr

referred to revn
in continuation

28/1/02

Was had been included in the
Office Note submd to the Dewan
today on the petition on the same
subject (Regd No 2165 dt: 12-1-02)
presented by the Petrs father
Ramanna Murthi -

24/2/02

19 .02 72
~~189~~

No. 2072

Name of Petitioner Sarvotthama Rao.

Village (Son of Srinivasamurthi Head quarters thro
grandson of Trimachalya
Taluk Kasaba Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 25.12.01

Date of Receipt 11.1.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

The subject matter of this petition is the same as petn No 2165 of 01 presented by the petrs father in re removal of the petrs father from the manager's place of Sri Venkataramana Swami ~~and another~~ and another temple built by the petrs ancestors at a cost of over a lakh of Rupees.

The petn states as his father was not

removed from the office and
that the temples have
been taken under Govt.
management

Prays that, incase
his father is found
to be unworthy of the
trust, the petr or his
brother may be given
the said management
after taking proper
Security from the petr
as if necessary; and
that he would render
proper accounts of
income and expenditure
to the Govt authorities, and
that he would also pay
any amount himself proved, after
proper examinations of
accounts, to have been
misappropriated by his
father, if any, on b of the
late pie funds.

28.1.02

2072/8/25-12-01

2072/8

11-1-1902

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸ್ವಾಮೀಜಿಯವರೇ,

ಪ್ರಣಾಮಗಳು. ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಕ್ಷಮಿಸಬೇಕು. ಈಗ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಬಿಡುವಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಬರೆದಿರಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ಪುನರುಕ್ತಿಯಾದರೂ ಸರಿಯೆಂದು ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಕಾಲಾಂತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಪ್ರಚಾರ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿ ಅದರ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಕಷ್ಟಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಣಮಿಸಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರ ಅಧ್ಯಯನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಾಯಕವಾಗಲೆಂದು ಕೆಲವು ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪ್ರವೇಶ. ಅದರ ಮೊದಲ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಮುಗಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದರ ಎರಡನೆಯ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದು ಮುಗಿಯಲು ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಸ್ವರೂಪವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದರ ಮೂರನೆಯ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದು ಮುಗಿಯಲು ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕಾಗಬಹುದು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಸ್ವರೂಪವನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸಂಪಿಗೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿ (ಅಂಚೆ) ಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರ
ಮಗಳು. ಈಕೆಗೆ ಬಾಲ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ತಂದೆ ತಾಯಿಗಳು
ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಆಶ್ರಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು
ಕೂಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ ಇವಳನ್ನು ಸಾಕಿದಳು. ಇವಳು
ಚಿಕ್ಕವಳಿದ್ದಾಗಲೇ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಕೂಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಚುರುಕುತನ
ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಬೆಳೆದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ
ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಲಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ
ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು ಬೆಳೆದು ದೊಡ್ಡವಳಾದ
ಮೇಲೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಲು
ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಅಣ್ಣನ ಮಗನಾದ
ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪನಿಗೆ ಇವಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಮದುವೆ
ಮಾಡಿದಳು. ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪನು ಕೂಡ ಕೂಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದನು. ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯಾದ
ಮೇಲೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಲು
ಬಿಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರಲು ಹೇಳಿದಳು.
ಇವಳು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇದ್ದು ಅಡುಗೆ ಮಾಡಿ
ಅಜ್ಜಿಗೆ ಬಡಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಊಟ ಮಾಡಿ
ಮಲಗಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಕಾಲು
ಒತ್ತುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ
ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು
ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ
ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ
ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ
ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು
ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು. ಅಜ್ಜಿಯು ಇವಳಿಗೆ
ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.
ಇವಳು ಅಜ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಳು.

80

Read :—

Petition no 2165/1042 dated 12th January 1902, from Desikulakurani Sreenivasa Murti of Srikakulampur, complaining that the management of the Sri Venkatanarasimhaswami Temple at Srikakulampur and its endowments, was taken away from him.

Read,

Petition no 2165/1042 dated 12th January 1902

Note by the Deputy Secretary to Government in charge of the Magisterial Department

dated 24th February 1902.

Order thereon Dated Bangalore, ~~March 1902~~.

R.O. 2144 — Dy 30/6/02
Dmisc. 3798

It is observed from

the Deputy Secretary's note

read above, that the

Petitioner Sreenivasa Murti, who was the manager

was removed from the | of the temple,

management ~~of the temple~~ in April 1900,

as he was reported to be not duly keeping

the temple accounts, and

that he

was unauthorizedly enjoying

~~certain~~ service lands belonging

to the temple. He was, however, at the same time,

appointed a Dharmadarsee

along with two others, and

has control over the temple

funds or lands was taken

away

§ 2

from him, due arrangements being made for the disbursement of the pay of the temple servants as well as for the leasing out of the temple lands, through the Taluk Amildar

2. The petitioner Sreenivasa Murti, in his petition read above, states that the temple was originally constituted by his ancestors, and was endowed with lands &c, in consideration of services rendered by them to the State; that he and the aggrieved that he therefore feels is well-founded that he was the sole manager thereof for a long time and allowed the sole manage-

of the temple and its

concerns and of the disposal

of its income, ~~being~~ as he is associated with the other two

Dharmakartrsees.

3 ~~The petitioner's case~~

~~seems to be a peculiar one, as regards this particular~~ temple.

~~X~~ The only way of ameliorating his position at this stage, is to appoint him as the Dharmakartrsee of the temple, without giving either him any hand in the distribution of the temple funds, or in the management of the temple lands. ~~In this~~ He will have power to supervise the temple affairs, subject, of course, to the general control of the Authorities.

The Government are inclined to show some consideration to the petitioner on account of his old age, and the services rendered by his ancestors to the State

2. Yes

9/9

The Government are accordingly pleased to appoint Mr Srinivasa Murthi as the Sole Dharmadarsee of the temple in question. A accordingly notification will issue, in modification of the one dated 10th April 1900.

4. The Dy Commr of the Bangalore District is requested to explain to the other two gentlemen, the special circumstances under which the number of the Dharmadarsees is reduced

forwarded Mysore Consul

6/6/02

7.6.02

1138 1902

No. 3078

Name of Petitioner Sreenivasacharlu Dharmakarta of

Village Sreeparusainna Venkata ramanaswami & other temples

Taluk Doddaballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 3-5-02

Date of Receipt 9-5-02

Date of Disposal —

No. of Enclosure nil

Re grant in perpetuity

Vide Govt. Proc no 2144 37-98 d 30.6.1902

ABSTRACT

Petitioner states that his grandfather Arunachalla Pant built two temples at an outlay of 1 lac of Rs during the sovereignty of Peshwas, establishing the gods, Prasannavenkatramana Swami in one & Chandramoulesvara in the other and from that time down to British rule in this Province, was conducting the processions (utsavas) of those gods at his own expense. Petitioner father rendered signal military services to late Highness Krishnaraja Wadeyar by suppressing the disloyalty of the Palegars of Gummanaikanpalya who murdered the Amildar sent by His Highness with the help of 1 Regiment, 100 horses &c given by His Highness, petr's father

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-05

attacked the D'olegar, took him
prisoner, punished the insurgents
and restored the territories usurped
by the D'olegar to His Highness.
In recognition of his service
His Highness endowed the temples
with Inam lands & Tasdiks.
From the time of the establishment
of the temples, Petti ancestors
& his father have been exercising
all control over them, the
Govt having nothing beyond
to do with them beyond supervision
While so, the Amildar of the
Taluk, Mr Gopalacharyar, told
tales against Petti to the late
Mirzai Sayeth with a view
to deprive him of the manage-
ment of the temples. The Amildar
also listened to some instigations
of evil minded people and
prevailed on the Mirzai Sayeth
to assume the management of them.
and caused the Petti & 2 others to
be appointed as Dharmakartas thereby
depriving him of their position of
Dharmakartas of the temples.
If the Minutes of His Highness
were perused, it will be clear to
Govt that Petti is entitled to
have the sole management
of the temples, the Govt only
requiring from him a statement
of receipts & expenditure, and
an account of the jewels of the
temple.

Prays that the management
which his ancestors were
exercising over the temples
may be restored to Petti
and that he may be designated
as Dharmakarta, instead of as
Dharmadarsi of the temples

8X

Date 23.5.02

Attached
is a

ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯಿಕ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬವಾಗಿದೆ. ಹಬ್ಬದ ಆಚರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ್ಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರೂ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದಿಂದ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುತ್ತಾರ

60

No 2145 dy 30/6/02
Mmz/F. 37-98

Murzas

Notification

In modification of the Notification No 1045 dated 10th April 1900, D. Srinivasa Murti is appointed Sole Dharmadarsi of the Sri Venkatramanaswami temple at Doddballapur

By order

Sd/- 30/6/02
for Dy. Secretary
to Govt

To The Compiler
Mysore Gazette
for publication both
in English & Kannarese.

No 2146 dy 30/6/02
Mmz/F. 37-98

Copy to Dy Commr Bangalore for info with reference to para 3 of Govt Pro: No 2144 dated 30th June 1902. Mmz/F. 37-98

By order

Sd/-
for Dy Secretary
Mmz Dept

24/9/02.

Ex + send to Secy.

Rec'd. B.R.
18-9-03.

Reference
Nil
24-9-03

2165 of 901 dtd 20 Aug 02
dtd 17-1-02 rec'd 18-2-02

19 578

1903-04

No. 721

Name of Petitioner S. Seenivasa Murti

Village Dharmasandra of Sri Venkataramana Swamy Temple

Taluk Dodballapur

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 26-8-03

Date of Receipt 18-9-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure four.

Petn. up previous papers

Ks
13/11/03

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. Notfn. No. 2145 Revg. 37-98, the petn. complains that he was deprived of his hak as the sole manager of the Venkataramana Swami Temple of Dodballapur, and prays that the entire management of the Temple with its Pastik and Inam lands may be given to him, subject to his tendering monthly accounts to Govt.

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

24/10/03.

92

721/R
18-9-03

2 nos enclosures

721

V

VI

VII

VIII

26.8.03

"Coffee"

13.11.97

"Copy"

105

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
Revenue and Department
Dated Bangalore 30th June

No 2145
ಅನುವಾದ-1

Notification

In modification of the notification No 1045 dated 10th April 1900. D. Srinivasa Moorthy is appointed Sole Dharmadarsee of the Sri Venkatarayaswamy temple at Doddaballapura

By order
(Sd) D. Ananda Swamy
for Dy Secretary

ನಕಲು 5

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅರಸರ ಆಳ್ವಿಕೆಯ
ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದ ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ

— : ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ : —

ಈ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 10ನೇ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ೧೯೦೦ರ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ನಂ ೧೦೪೫ಕ್ಕೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಾಗಿ ಡಿ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಮೂರ್ತಿಯವರು ದೊಡ್ಡಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರದ ಶ್ರೀ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಏಕಮಾತ್ರ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 15.7.1902

(Sd) Thomas Gill
Secretary

[illegible]

No. 1448 dt. 24.11.03

From: F-37-98. శ్రీ నీలం లక్ష్మీపతి మండలము

రాయలంపాడు

మీరు ఇళ్ళ స్థలములు వక్రమించి

నవుతూ రాగా -

అంతరాయం:

కనుక మీరు ఇళ్ళ స్థలములు

వక్రమించిన వారికి వేరే ఇళ్ళ స్థలములు చూడవలసి

నది. నిజమైన ప్రజలకు ఇవ్వవలసిన ఇళ్ళ స్థలములు

కాలువ గట్లు. అందు వల్ల ప్రజలు

కష్టములు పడవలసి వచ్చింది. దేవనిధి

నదిని వక్రించి కొంత కొంత వన్న కొంతమంది

కొంతని చెరువు ఆక్రమించి ఇవ్వగా 578

578 కుటుంబాలు లబ్ధిపొందవలసి ఉన్నది

కలదు —

నిజమైన కొంతమంది వారన్న ప్రజలకు

[illegible]

By order

24/11/03

By Secretary to Govt

Inward Department

24/11/03

No. 1449

Copy forwarded to the Dy Comrs

Bangalore District, in continuation

of Govt Ltr no 2144 dated 30th

June 1902.

By order

Dy Secretary

24/11/03

37-38

Muz 1] 109

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPT.

Registered No.--

Name of Petitioner-- ಶ್ರೀ ವೆಂಕಟಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ

Address— ಸಿ. ನಂ ರಾಯವಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕುರುಬರಹಳ್ಳಿ

Date of Petition— 24-12-03

Date of Receipt— 8

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೋರಿದ್ದು

Submitted

The petitioner has already been finally informed that nothing more can be done to him.

8/1/04

6/1/04 Tell him that the order already passed cannot be altered

8/1/04

Rec'd
24/12/03
ks

No. 1237

To
The Superintendent of Police
Nandyal

Sir,

Sub: Law and order problems - Permission to hold Public Meeting - Reg.

Ref: Representation dated 24.11.03 of the Secretary, Sri Sai Seva Samithi, Nandyal.

The Secretary, Sri Sai Seva Samithi, Nandyal has submitted a representation dated 24.11.03 requesting permission to hold a public meeting in connection with the Sri Sai Baba Birthday Celebrations on 27.11.03 at Nandyal. He has also requested to provide police bandobust and to take necessary steps to control traffic during the meeting.

Sri Sai Seva Samithi, Nandyal has been conducting Sri Sai Baba Birthday Celebrations every year in the past. They have been conducting Bhajans, Kolatams, Annadanam and Vastradanam to the poor people. They have been collecting donations from the public for the purpose. They have been collecting donations from the public for the purpose. They have been collecting donations from the public for the purpose.

In view of the above, the Secretary, Sri Sai Seva Samithi, Nandyal is hereby permitted to hold the public meeting on 27.11.03 at Nandyal. He is also requested to take necessary steps to control traffic during the meeting.

Yours faithfully,

ನಾನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದ ದಿನ
ನಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಅಹರ್ನಿಶಿ ಶ್ರಮಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ವರ್ಗದವರು ನನ್ನ
ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಕಾರ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.
ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಪಾತ್ರವೂ
ಅಪಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ನಾನು
ಆಭಾರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 12.03.

No. 2007. Dt 13.1.04.
Mun. F.37-98.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

5044
6.5.07

GA

Rejus
ks
6/5/07

190 6.7 11

From
The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore dist.

To
The Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Dated 4.

Despatched 6th May 1907

Received

General No.

M.S No. 154

Enclosure No. 1 & 2

Recommends the
appointment of certain
3 gentlemen as Dharma-
darsis of Sri Venkatra-
manaswami temple
in the Doddaballapur town.

angalore District.
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 4th May 1907.

M.S. No. 159

From, H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To, L. Anantaswami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that one Mr. Srinivasa Murthi, appointed in Government-Order No. 2145-37-98 dated 30th June 1902, as sole Dharmadarsi of the Venkataramana-swami Temple in the Doddballapur Town died on 16th August 1905. He has left two sons behind him. Of these, one Sarvothama Rao is the District Surveyor attached to the Chitaldroog District Office. The other

son

1. Kolin Ramayya
2. Krishna Murthi
3. Annasheda Rayana Lakshmana Rao

son Shama Rao is employed in the Excise Department and is now on leave, reported to have gone to Madras. Both the brothers have applied for the place of Dharmadarsi, which has fallen vacant.

2. As both the sons of the deceased are absent from the Station, the Amildar proposes that the name-ginally noted three gentlemen of the Town may be appointed as Dharmadarsis for the management of the temple. The Divisional Officer also is of the same opinion. I concur with the above and request that sanction of Government may be accorded for appointing them as Dharmadarsis till the sons of the deceased Sob Dharmadarsi Srinivasa Murty return to Doddaballapur and reside there permanently when they may be appointed as Dharmadarsis

3 The records ending with Divisional

Assistant

Assistant Commissioner's yadi No.
1142 dated 23rd April 1907 are herewith
sent for reference and kind return
when done with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

119

Mysore

Notification

Later ref ee
625-08

No. 30 W 18.7.08

The undermentioned gentlemen are appointed Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of the Sri Venkata-ramanaswami temple in the Doddaballapur ~~Taluk~~ Town in the room of the sole Dharma-darsi Mr. Srinivasamurti deceased:-

(1) Mr. Rao Kolur Ramappa.
(2) " — Krishna Pandit
(3) " — Annachelarayana Lakshmana Rao.

By order,

(Cr. under) 30/6/08
Secretary.

To
The Compiler, Mysore Gazette,
for pub. in Eng & Kan.

Copy is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
District with ref ee to his letter

C.P. 3/
Excise file

No. 154, dated 4th May 1907.

2. If the sons of the deceased

Sole Dharmadarsi Mr. Srinivasa Murthi

come and live at Doddaballapur,

the Deputy Commissioner is requested
to Govt. the names ~~of both~~ to recommend either or both of them for inclusion
~~in the list of Dharmadarsis~~
in the list of Dharmadarsis.
~~name of either or the names of both~~

~~of them in the list of Dharmadarsis~~

~~and report the fact to Govt. for info~~.

3. The enclosures are returned herewith.

By Order,

(Sd.)

Secretary

20/6/07

Ps
25/6/07

ప్రెసిడెంటు శ్రీమద్రాజమహేంద్ర చరవరిచారణీయులు

మహాశయా

సబ్మిడారినావలం.

No.30
25.7.07

తారీఖు 8 సెప్టెంబరు 1907

ఇదరతో కూడ దేవాస్థానమునకు చెందిన రెండు బల్లపుర టవణిల్లారువ శ్రీవేంకటరమణ స్వామి దేవతానందకైలసగల్లను మేల్లాచారగా సేవలు చేయుచున్న వారిపట్ల మి. శ్రీనివాస అయ్యంగారు వారికి బదులుగా ధర్మదర్శన గల్లా గా వేంకటరంగాచార్యులవారిని నియమించిరి.

1. మ. రా. రా. కోయంబుత్తూరు రామస్వామినాయకరు.
2. " కృష్ణస్వామయ్యంగారు.
3. " అరుణాచలాచారియరులక్ష్మీ నారాయణరు

ప్రకటనం చేయవలెనని

[illegible] 25/7/07

సెక్రెటరీ

మ మహాజనాలు తిరిగి పంపివేయుట

To
The Compiler
Mysore Gazette for
publication.